

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/575,562	05/21/2000	Xavier S. Haurie	A00312.70400	1261
7590 01/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven J. Henry			PHAN, TRONG Q	
Wolf Greenfield & Sacks Federal Reserve Bank Building			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 Atlantic Avenue			2818	
Boston, MA	02210		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	TA-null				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/575,562		HAURIE ET AL.			
omee near cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	$(\Lambda I I_{\ell})$			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	TRONG PHAN	the correspondence ac	Idrass			
Period for Reply	ppouro on the cover shock with	the correspondence at	741 633			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 Id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time S from the mailing date of this c DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	July 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and. 	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	Examiner. Note the attached C	mice Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		140(a) (d) a= (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the figure 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pure 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § first sentence of the specification provisional application has been stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	dication No ceived in this National ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional on or in an Application n received.	al application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(mal Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 09/575,562

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-65 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 and 29 of copending Application No. 09/575,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the DAC as recited in claims 1-65 ot the present invention is obviously the same as the DAC as recited in claims 1-9 and 29 in the copending Application No. 09/575,560.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-1794. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/575,562

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TRONG PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

phantrony